

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CIN COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,702 | 12/29/2003 | Bhaskar Jayakrishnan | 10559-908001 / P17956 | 2141 |
| 20985 7590 05/08/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC | | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 | | | PHAM, BRENDA H | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55440-1022 | . • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2616 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/08/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| · | 10/748,702 | JAYAKRISHNAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brenda Pham | 2616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De | <u>ecember 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | | |

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "A computer program product, tangibly embodied in an information carrier, the computer program product being operable to cause a machine to" is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Functional Descriptive Material: "Data Structures" Representing

Descriptive Material Per Se or Computer Programs Representing Computer

Listings Per Se.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material <u>per se</u> and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. <u>See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F. 3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760</u> (claim to a data structure <u>per se</u> held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware

Application/Control Number: 10/748,702 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory.

Similarly, computer program claimed as computer listing <u>per se</u>, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. <u>See Lowry</u>, 32 F. 3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rom et al (US 6,252,849 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 18, 21 and 24, Rom et al disclose a network switch device and method comprising:

receiving on a first switching device (source switching) a message from a second switching device (destination switching) that indicates to slow packet transmission to the second switching device (see figure 1-3, column 5, lines 1-50).

Rom et al teach "A PAUSE frame is provided to an information packet source by a downstream destination to inhibit transmission of information packets such as information frames by the information packet source to the downstream destination for a specified period of time."

Regarding claims 2-4, 6-7, 9-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19-20, 22-23 and 25-26, Rom et all further teach slowing packet transmission from the first switching device to a congested port in the second switching device (see figure 4-5, column 5, lines 37-52).

NOTE: Examiner does not give patentable weight to "operable to" clauses (see claims 8-10, 12-13) and "capable of" clause (see claims 18-19, 21-22, 24-25) because such language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

Page 5

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild, can be reached on (571) 272-2092.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

May 6, 2007 Brenda Pham

BRENDA PHAM

Brench H. Pham

PRIMARY EXAMINER